

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, MUMBAI

2. M.A. No. 157 of 2022 with M.A. No. 158 of 2022  
In O.A. No. 82 of 2019

Col Prafulla Chandra Pusti

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>17.01.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Shailendra Shukla, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral H C S Bisht (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Mr. Satendra Kumar, Ld Counsel for the applicant and Mr. M. Adhikary i/b Ms. Anamika Malhotra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 158/2022</u></b></p> <p>This application has been filed for the condonation of delay of 5 months and 5 days in filing the Leave to Appeal.</p> <p>In view of the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>.</p> <p>Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 157/2022</u></b></p> <p>This application has been filed seeking leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 07.06.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 82 of 2019.</p> <p>Heard. The applicant was denied disability pension on the ground that there is no casual connection between the injury and the military service. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that although Court of</p>

Inquiry held that injury is attributable to military service, however, in the impugned order it was held otherwise. After due consideration we do not find any point of law of general public importance being involved in the matter so that leave may be granted. Accordingly, application for Leave to Appeal is **dismissed**.

(Vice Admiral H C S Bisht)  
Member (A)

Amk/-

(Justice Shailendra Shukla)  
Member (J)